



# **Information Culture of Students in the Academic Environment – Finding One's Way through Studies**

Krista Lepik, Katrin Kannukene

University of Tartu, Estonia

ECIL2017, September 18, 2017



# Where it all started?

- Courses
  - „Academic Self-Management“
    - Planning one's studies at the university, mnemotechnical techniques, proper citing, different forms of writing tasks, but also:
    - Communication to faculty members
    - „Student's best friend is another student!“
  - „Research Methodology“
    - Students need to practice interviewing in a *safe* environment

# Theoretical framework – information culture

- Information culture - manifested in the organization's values, norms, and practices that have an impact on how information is perceived, created and used (Choo, Bergeron, Detlor, Heaton 2008: 793)

thus, the research question is

- Through which values, norms, and practices can we conceptualize information culture of students based on the example of information work students at the University of Tartu?
- Focus on the 'organizational' context of studies

# The context of our study

- University of Tartu
- Information work students, N = 100
- Composition of the sample:

Year (Semester)	Form of study	Year of study	Number of students in our sample
2015 (Spring)	Regular study (RS)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	12
2015 (Spring)	Open university (OU)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	26
2015 (Spring)	Open university	3 <sup>rd</sup>	14
2015 (Fall)	Open university	3 <sup>rd</sup>	14
2016 (Spring)	Regular study	2 <sup>nd</sup>	7
2016 (Spring)	Open university	2 <sup>nd</sup>	27

# Methodology of our study

- Semi-structured interviews conducted by students (has it's own pros and cons!)
- Constructivist grounded theory (Charmaz 2014)
  - Open coding
  - Axial coding
  - Memos
  - Subjectivity and researcher involvement recognized
  - Context-dependency of the results

# Results. Values 1

- Interactions with faculty members:
  - Expectations about faculty members' interpersonal skills (positive attitude, open-mindedness, friendliness, treating students as equals):
    - In the classroom and beyond
    - Tonality of their messages (the role of e-mail and Moodle!)
  - Need to feel welcome at the university and find courage to ask questions
  - Teachers as somewhat superior to students (You/you differences)
  - Formulating messages so that it would be as easy as possible to reply these
  - How students 'measure' ASAP (when a faculty member has to respond to an e-mail?)

## Results. Values 2

- Interactions with fellow students:
  - Collectivity
  - Careful choice of the addressee:
    - Faculty member first – personal or embarrassing question,
    - Fellow-students first – don't want to leave 'silly' impression
    - A mix: discussing with fellow-students, then together asking from faculty member

# Results. Norms

- Students as equals – rules apply to everyone, also in case of ‘You’
- Uncontested norm of writing a polite e-mail:
  - Respect to faculty-member,
  - Feeling oneself professional in the company of other professionals
- Facebook – still for real friends, not ‘friends’
  - Faculty member as a ‘friend’ – exceptional (for supervisors, familiaar before enrollment, professional contact after graduation)
  - Keeping different environments for different activities



# Results. Practices

- Practices being informed by values and norms:
  - Always a polite e-mail
  - Contrast between perceptions of university (formal) and social media (informal)
  - 'Crisis situations' – urgent and unpleasant situations
    - Clear 'rules of game' (home assignment or its deadline changing)
    - Respectable position of faculty member means responsibility of showing the initiative to solve the crisis
    - 'Crisis situations' emerging from misunderstandings and clashes of values and norms

## For discussion

- 'Unwritten rules' about communication to faculty members and fellow-students:
  - Each year, new students struggling with these issues
  - Classes of 'academic self-management' or 'learning to learn'
- Information culture as rather independent and self-organizing, formed through mutual collaboration and negotiation:
  - How far can it drift away from the previously existing information culture in the university?
  - Do students need another set of rules?
  - Yet, the importance to recognize the information culture of students remains.

## What next?

- From initial results about ‘organizational issues’ towards:
  - Forms of collaboration between students
  - Course-work related information culture (including writing homework, information seeking, avoiding plagiarism, etc)
  - Students’ information culture regarding online environments
- From a fragmented view towards a multifaceted model that considers the academic context more in-depth
- Context-specificity of students’ information culture – international comparison

# References

- Charmaz, K.: *Constructing Grounded Theory*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. SAGE, Los Angeles etc (2014)
- Choo, C. W., Bergeron, P., Detlor, B., Heaton, L.: Information Culture and Information Use: An Exploratory Study of Three Organizations. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 59(5), 792–804 (2008)

# Acknowledgements

- Education Agency, Archimedes Foundation, Estonia
- The Institute of Social Studies, University of Tartu, Estonia
- Last but not least, our students-participants!

# Questions and comments are welcome:

- E-mail: [krista.lepik@ut.ee](mailto:krista.lepik@ut.ee)
- Skype: v2ikekass